

ON TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS

Ognian T. Kassabov

University of Sofia

Received March, 9 1985.

Many authors have investigated totally real submanifolds of Kählerian manifolds. In this paper we generalize some results in this direction obtained by B.-Y. Chen, K. Ogiue, M. Kon and K. Yano in [1] [4] [5] [6]. In particular, we study semiparallel totally real submanifolds. The notion of semiparallel submanifolds was introduced by J. Deprez in [2] [3] as extrinsic analogue for semisymmetric Riemannian spaces.

1. Preliminaries.

Let $\widetilde{M}$ be a $2m$ -dimensional Kählerian manifold with Riemannian metric g , complex structure J and covariant differentiation $\widetilde{\nabla}$. An n -dimensional submanifold M of $\widetilde{M}$ is said to be a totally real submanifold of $\widetilde{M}$, if for each point $p \in M$ the inclusion $JT_p M \subset T_p M^\perp$ holds. Then $n \leq m$. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we write the Gauss formula:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y),$$

where ∇ is the covariant differentiation on M and σ is a normal-bundle-valued symmetric tensor field on M , called the second fundamental form of M . The mean curvature vector H of M is defined by $H = (1/n) \operatorname{tr} \sigma$. If $H = 0$, M is said to be a minimal submanifold of $\widetilde{M}$. In particular, if $\sigma = 0$, M is called a totally geodesic submanifold of $\widetilde{M}$. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^\perp$ the Weingarten formula is given by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi,$$

where $-A_\xi X$ (resp. $D_X \xi$) denotes the tangential (resp. the normal) component of $\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi$. It is well known that $g(\sigma(X, Y), \xi) = g(A_\xi X, Y)$ and D is the covariant differentiation in the normal bundle. If $D_X \xi = 0$ for each $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the normal vector field ξ is said to be parallel. For a normal vector field ξ , let $J\xi = P\xi + f\xi$, where $P\xi$ (resp. $f\xi$) denotes the tangential (resp. the normal) component of $J\xi$. Then f is an endomorphism of the normal bundle and $f^3 + f = 0$. So if f does not vanishes, it defines an f -structure in the normal bundle. If $Df = 0$, i.e. $D_X f\xi - fD_X \xi = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^\perp$, the f -structure in the normal bundle is said to be parallel. In this case it is not difficult to find that

$$(1) \quad \sigma(X, Y) = JA_{JX}Y = JA_{JY}X,$$

$$(2) \quad D_X JY = J\nabla_X Y,$$

$$(3) \quad A_\xi = 0,$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $\xi \perp \mathfrak{X}(M) \oplus J\mathfrak{X}(M)$, see [6, p. 46]. We note, that if $n = m$, f vanishes and (1) and (2) hold good. Because of (1), the equation of Gauss can be written in the form

$$\{\widetilde{R}(x, y)z\}^t = R(x, y)z - [A_{Jx}, A_{Jy}]z,$$

for $x, y, z \in T_p M$, $p \in M$ where $\widetilde{R}$ (resp. R) is the curvature tensor for $\widetilde{M}$ (resp. M) and $\{\widetilde{R}(x, y)z\}^t$ denotes the tangential component of $\widetilde{R}(x, y)z$. In particular, if $\widetilde{M}$ is a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$, i.e. a Kählerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature μ , we find

$$(4) \quad \frac{\mu}{4}x \wedge y = R(x, y) - [A_{Jx}, A_{Jy}].$$

If $[A_\xi, A_\eta] = 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^\perp$, M is said to have commutative second fundamental forms. Then from (3) and (4) we obtain

Lemma 1. [6, p. 57] Let M be a totally real submanifold of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$. If the f -structure in the normal bundle is parallel, then M is of constant curvature $\mu/4$ if and only if M has commutative second fundamental forms.

For minimal submanifolds we have

Lemma 2. [6, p. 57] Let M be a totally real minimal submanifold with commutative second fundamental forms of a Kählerian manifold $\widetilde{M}$. If the f -structure in the normal bundle is parallel, then M is totally geodesic.

We note that because of (1)

$$(5) \quad M \text{ is minimal if and only if } \sum_{i=1}^n A_{Je_i} e_i = 0$$

for any orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of a tangent space of M .

Let $R^\perp$ be the curvature tensor of the normal connection, i.e.

$$R^\perp(X, Y)\xi = D_X D_Y \xi - D_Y D_X \xi - D_{[X, Y]}\xi,$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^\perp$. Using (2) we find that

$$(6) \quad R^\perp(X, Y)JZ = JR(X, Y)Z.$$

Let $\bar{\nabla}$ denote the covariant differentiation with respect to the connection of van der Waerden - Bortolotti. For example

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = D_X \sigma(Y, Z) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

If $\bar{\nabla} \sigma = 0$, then M is said to have parallel second fundamental form or to be a parallel submanifold. More generally M is said to be a semiparallel submanifold of $\widetilde{M}$, if $\bar{R}(X, Y) \cdot \sigma = 0$ [2] [3], where

$$(\bar{R}(X, Y) \cdot \sigma)(Z, U) = (\bar{\nabla}_X (\bar{\nabla}_Y \sigma))(Z, U) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y (\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma))(Z, U),$$

or equivalently

$$(\bar{R}(X, Y) \cdot \sigma)(Z, U) = R^\perp(X, Y)\sigma(Z, U) - \sigma(R(X, Y)Z, U) - \sigma(Z, R(X, Y)U).$$

In Euclidean spaces submanifolds of this kind are considered by J. Deprez [2] [3]. Using (1) and (6) we derive

Lemma 3. Let M be a totally real submanifold with parallel f -structure in the normal bundle of a Kählerian manifold $\widetilde{M}$. Then M is semiparallel if and only if

$$R(x, y)A_{Jz}u = A_{Jz}R(x, y)u + A_{Ju}R(x, y)z$$

for all $x, y, z, u \in T_p M$, $p \in M$.

On the other hand, as a generalization of the submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector, the submanifolds with semiparallel mean curvature vector are defined by $R^\perp(X, Y)H = 0$. We note that the class of submanifolds with semiparallel mean curvature vector includes also the semiparallel submanifolds.

2. Submanifolds of constant curvature.

Proposition 1. Let M be an n -dimensional ($n > 1$) totally real submanifold of constant curvature c , with parallel f -structure in the normal bundle of a Kählerian

manifold $\widetilde{M}$. If the mean curvature vector H of M is semiparallel, then M is minimal or flat.

Proof. First we note that $H \in J\mathfrak{X}(M)$, because of (1). Now using (6) we obtain:

$$0 = R^\perp(X, Y)H = -JR(X, Y)JH,$$

i.e.:

$$R(X, Y)JH = 0.$$

Since M is of constant curvature c , this implies that

$$(7) \quad c\{g(y, JH)x - g(x, JH)y\} = 0$$

for all $x, y \in T_p M$. Let $c \neq 0$. Putting in (7) $y = (JH)_p$, $x \perp y$, $x \neq 0$ we obtain $H_p = 0$. Hence M is minimal.

Now we prove the main result in this section.

Theorem 1. Let M be an n -dimensional ($n > 1$) totally real semiparallel submanifold of constant curvature c with parallel f -structure in the normal bundle of a Kählerian manifold $\widetilde{M}$. Then M is flat, i.e. $c = 0$ or M is a totally geodesic submanifold of $\widetilde{M}$.

Proof. Since M is of constant curvature c , $R(x, y) = cx \wedge y$ holds good. Hence Lemma 3 implies:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} c\{g(y, A_{Jz}u)x - g(x, A_{Jz}u)y\} &= c\{g(y, z)A_{Ju}x - g(x, z)A_{Ju}y \\ &\quad + g(y, u)A_{Jz}x - g(x, u)A_{Jz}y\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, u \in T_p M$, $p \in M$. Let $c \neq 0$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. We put $x = u = e_i$ in (8) and we add for $i = 1, \dots, n$ using (5) and Proposition 1. The result is

$$(n+1)cA_{Jz}y = 0.$$

Hence the assertion follows, because of (3).

Using Lemma 1 we obtain

Corollary. Let M be an n -dimensional ($n > 1$) totally real semiparallel submanifold of constant curvature c , with parallel f -structure in the normal bundle

of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$. Then M is flat, i.e. $c = 0$ or M is a totally geodesic submanifold of $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$, i.e. $c = \mu/4$.

For parallel minimal submanifolds this Corollary is proved in [1], see also [6, p.61].

3. Minimal submanifolds and the sign of the scalar curvature.

Let M be an n -dimensional totally real minimal semiparallel submanifold with parallel f -structure in the normal bundle of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$. According to (4) and Lemma 3:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu}{4} \{g(y, A_{Jz}u)x - g(x, A_{Jz}u)y\} + [A_{Jx}, A_{Jy}]A_{Jz}u \\ &= \frac{\mu}{4} \{g(y, z)A_{Ju}x - g(x, z)A_{Ju}y \\ & \quad + g(y, u)A_{Jz}x - g(x, u)A_{Jz}y\} \\ & \quad + A_{Ju}[A_{Jx}, A_{Jy}]z + A_{Jz}[A_{Jx}, A_{Jy}]u \end{aligned}$$

holds good and hence we derive the relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu}{4} \{g(y, A_{Jz}A_{Ju}v)g(x, w) - g(x, A_{Jz}A_{Ju}v)g(y, w)\} \\ & \quad + g([A_{Jx}, A_{Jy}]A_{Jz}A_{Ju}v, w) \\ (9) \quad &= \frac{\mu}{4} \{g(y, z)g(A_{Jx}A_{Ju}v, w) - g(x, z)g(A_{Jy}A_{Ju}v, w) \\ & \quad + g(y, A_{Ju}v)g(A_{Jz}x, w) - g(x, A_{Ju}v)g(A_{Jz}y, w)\} \\ & \quad + g([A_{Jx}, A_{Jy}]z, A_{Ju}A_{Jz}v) + g(A_{Jz}[A_{Jx}, A_{Jy}]A_{Ju}v, w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in T_pM$, $p \in M$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of T_pM . In (9) we put $x = w = e_i$, $y = u = e_j$, $z = v = e_k$ and we add for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$; this gives:

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad & \frac{\mu}{4} (n+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr } A_{J_{e_i}}^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \text{tr } A_{J_{e_i}}^2 A_{J_{e_j}}^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \text{tr } A_{J_{e_i}} A_{J_{e_j}}^2 A_{J_{e_i}} \\ & \quad + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \text{tr } A_{J_{e_i}} A_{J_{e_j}} A_{J_{e_i}} A_{J_{e_j}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand it is not difficult to find that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} A_{J_{e_i}}^2 A_{J_{e_j}}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} A_{J_{e_i}} A_{J_{e_j}}^2 A_{J_{e_i}}.$$

Applying this to (10) we obtain:

$$\frac{\mu}{4}(n+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} A_{J_{e_i}}^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \{ \operatorname{tr} (A_{J_{e_i}} A_{J_{e_j}} - A_{J_{e_j}} A_{J_{e_i}})^2 - \operatorname{tr} A_{J_{e_i}}^2 A_{J_{e_j}}^2 \} = 0.$$

Now, just as Theorem 8.1 in [6, p. 69], we can prove the following

Theorem 2. Let M be a totally real minimal semiparallel submanifold with parallel f -structure in the normal bundle of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$. If the square of the length of the second fundamental form is constant (or equivalently, if M has constant scalar curvature τ), then M is totally geodesic or $\tau \geq 0$. Moreover, if $\tau = 0$, then M is flat.

4. Commutative second fundamental forms.

To begin this section we note, that if M is a totally real submanifold with commutative second fundamental forms and parallel f -structure in the normal bundle of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$, then the equation of Gauss (4) reduces to

$$(11) \quad R(x, y) = \frac{\mu}{4} x \wedge y.$$

Proposition 2. Let M be an n -dimensional ($n > 1$) totally real semiparallel submanifold with commutative second fundamental forms of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$. If the f -structure in the normal bundle is parallel, then M is totally geodesic or flat.

Proof. According to (11), M is of constant curvature $\mu/4$. Now the assertion follows from Theorem 1.

More generally, from (11), Lemma 2 and Proposition 1 we derive

Proposition 3. Let M be an n -dimensional ($n > 1$) totally real submanifold with commutative second fundamental forms and parallel f -structure in the normal bundle of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$. If the mean curvature vector H of M is semiparallel, then M is totally geodesic or $\mu = 0$.

Propositions 2 and 3 generalize some results in [6, p. 62].

If $n = 2$ and M has parallel mean curvature vector, we can weaken the assumptions of Proposition 3. Namely, we have

Proposition 4. Let M be a totally real surface with commutative second fundamental forms and parallel f -structure in the normal bundle of a Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}$. If the mean curvature vector of M is parallel, then M is flat or totally geodesic.

This follows from Lemma 2 and the following

Proposition 5. Let M be a totally real surface with parallel f -structure in the normal bundle of a Kähler manifold $\widetilde{M}$. If the mean curvature vector H of M is parallel, then M is flat or minimal.

Proof. Since H is parallel, it has constant length. Let $H \neq 0$, i.e. M is not minimal. As in Proposition 1 we have $R(X, Y)JH = 0$. Hence $R(X, JH, JH, X) = 0$, and since H does not vanish, then M is flat.

Theorem 3. Let M be an n -dimensional ($n > 1$) complete totally real submanifold with parallel mean curvature vector and commutative second fundamental forms of a $2m$ -dimensional simply connected complete complex space form $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$. If the f -structure in the normal bundle is parallel and M is not totally geodesic, then M is a pythagorean product of the form

$$S^1(r_1) \times \dots \times S^1(r_p) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$$

in a $\mathbb{C}^n$ in $\mathbb{C}^m$, where $1 \leq p \leq n$.

Proof. According to Proposition 3, $\mu = 0$. Then $\widetilde{M}(\mu)$ is (isometric to) $\mathbb{C}^m$ and the assertion follows from Theorem 7.1 in [6, p. 65].

For parallel submanifolds, Theorem 3 is proved in [5], (see also [6, p. 66]).

Corollary. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 3, if M is compact, it is pythagorean product of the form

$$S^1(r_1) \times \dots \times S^1(r_n)$$

in a $\mathbb{C}^n$ in $\mathbb{C}^m$.

References

- [1]. B.-Y, Chen and K. Ogiue. *On totally real submanifolds*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 193 (1974), 257-266.

- [2]. J. Deprez. *Semi-parallel hypersurfaces*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univer. Politec. Torino, 44 (1986), 303-316.
- [3]. J. Deprez. *Semi-parallel surfaces in Euclidean space* J. Geom. 25 (1985), 192-200.
- [4]. M. Kon. *Totally real minimal submanifolds with parallel second fundamental forms*. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, 57 (1974), 187-189.
- [5]. K. Yano and M. Kon. *Totally real submanifolds of complex space forms II*. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 27 (1976), 385-399.
- [6]. K. Yano and M. Kon. *Anti-invariant submanifolds*. Marcel Dekker, INC. New York and Basel, 1976.

University of Sofia
Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics
5, Anton Ivanov Street
1126 Sofia
BULGARIA